

## STUDIES ON THE OCCURRENCE OF ACTINOSPOREAN STAGES OF FISH MYXOSPOREANS IN A FISH FARM OF HUNGARY, WITH THE DESCRIPTION OF TRIACTINOMYXON, RAABEIA, AURANTIACTINOMYXON AND NEOACTINOMYXON TYPES

Amina EL-MANSY\*, Cs. SZÉKELY\*\* and K. MOLNÁR

Veterinary Medical Research Institute, Hungarian Academy of Sciences,  
H-1581 Budapest, P.O. Box 18, Hungary

(Received December 4, 1997; accepted January 12, 1998)

Actinosporean infection of the oligochaete fauna living in the mud and on the vegetation of fish ponds used for rearing common carp in polyculture was studied during a one-year survey at a fish farm in Hungary, located south of Budapest. Twenty-eight actinospore types were isolated from the oligochaetes *Tubifex tubifex*, *Branchiura sowerbyi*, *Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri*, *Nais elinguis*, and *Stylaria lacustris* collected during the survey, which could be classified into the triactinomyxon, raabeia, aurantiactinomyxon and neoactinomyxon groups. Drawings depicting individual actinospore types are presented on plates and their characteristic dimensions have been summarised in tables. The prevalence and seasonality of actinosporean infections observed in the various oligochaete species have been illustrated graphically. Infection by actinospores showed a pronounced seasonality. In the spring, summer and autumn the prevalence of raabeia infection in *Branchiura* exceeded 90%, while in the winter it dropped to 42%. A similar phenomenon could be observed for aurantiactinomyxon infection, while neoactinomyxon infection reached its peak in the autumn. In *Tubifex*, *Limnodrilus*, *Nais* and *Stylaria* species the peak of actinosporean infection occurred, with minor differences, in the spring and summer. Actinosporean infection in the individual Oligochaeta species showed much higher prevalence values than had been reported in the literature, which can be explained by the novelty of the examination technique used. It cannot be decided with absolute certainty which myxosporean developmental stage the different actinospore types described during this survey represent of the species of Myxosporea parasitic in the given ponds. This would require an experimental study for which the data presented here may serve as a basis.

**Key words:** Actinospore, myxosporeans, Myxozoa, survey, triactinomyxon, raabeia, aurantiactinomyxon, neoactinomyxon

---

\* On leave from the National Institute of Oceanography and Fisheries, Cairo, Egypt; Student of the doctoral program in Zootaxonomy, Synbiology and Hydrobiology at the 'Loránd Eötvös' University of Arts and Sciences, Budapest

\*\* Corresponding author

The first report on actinosporeans was published by Stolc (1899), who described these organisms found in Bohemia as parasites related to myxosporeans. Although nearly one hundred years have elapsed since their first description, until quite recently only few researchers had studied actinosporeans. Of them, Ikeda (1912) and Mackinnon and Adam (1924) detected tetractinomyxon and triactinomyxon forms in England, while in Poland Janiszewska (1955, 1957) performed detailed studies on the morphology, ecology and systematics of actinosporeans. The ultrastructure and biology of these parasites have been studied most intensively by Marques (1984), Lom and Dykova (1997), and Lom et al. (1997a). Research on actinosporeans gathered momentum after Wolf and Markiw (1984) had demonstrated that actinosporeans did not constitute an independent taxonomic unit but corresponded to fish-parasitic myxosporean stages developing in oligochaetes. After Wolf and Markiw (1984), other researchers also produced experimental evidence that in the developmental cycle of a given species of Myxosporea the intra-piscine myxosporean and the intra-oligochaete actinosporean stages alternated (El-Matbouli and Hoffmann, 1989; Ruidisch et al., 1991; El-Matbouli et al., 1992; Grossheider and Körting, 1992; El-Matbouli and Hoffmann, 1993; Kent et al., 1993; Yokoyama et al., 1993a; El-Matbouli et al., 1995; Yokoyama et al., 1995; Uspenskaya, 1995; Trouillier et al., 1996; Yokoyama, 1997; El-Mansy and Molnár, 1997; El-Mansy and Molnár, in press). Relying on these studies, Kent et al. (1995) proposed that the class Actinosporea should be merged into the class Myxosporea as a synonym of the latter, and that the names of actinosporean genera should in the future be used only for typing actinospores developing in oligochaetes. The major taxonomic changes of recent years are reflected in the works of Smothers et al. (1994), Kent et al. (1995), Siddal et al. (1995), and Schlegel et al. (1996), who reassigned phylum Myxozoa, earlier regarded by many authors as protozoa, from protozoan to metazoan parasites.

The actinosporean infection of oligochaetes in fish farms and natural waters in relation to the developmental cycle of myxosporeans has so far been studied among others by Hamilton and Canning (1987), Burtle et al. (1991), Székely (1991), Styer et al. (1992), Pote and Waterstrat (1993), Koller (1994), Pallós (1995), and McGeorge et al. (1997).

The objective of the present study was to gain a better understanding of the actinosporean stages of species of Myxosporea occurring in Hungary. A one-year survey was carried out to determine the occurrence of actinosporean stages in water samples taken from the ponds of a fish farm as well as in oligochaetes collected from the ponds.

## Material and methods

The survey was carried out at a fish farm situated south of Budapest, between March 1996 and March 1997. From three selected ponds of the farm and from their drain channels oligochaete-containing water-weed and mud samples as well as water samples were taken at biweekly intervals. In the period of study, and also previously, polycultural fish breeding was conducted in the given ponds; however, fish species other than those reared in the ponds could also enter the farm with the inflow water. The water-weed, mud and water samples collected from the ponds were transported to the laboratory for the isolation of oligochaetes and then actinospores.

### *Preparation of oligochaetes for examination for actinospores*

Oligochaetes of small size (3–30 mm) were isolated from the plants and from particles floating in the water under stereomicroscope in Petri dishes, identified to the genus or species level, then placed into small (0.5–5 l) aerated aquaria, using a separate aquarium for each species. Oligochaetes of large body size (40–150 mm) were washed out from the mud, assorted under stereomicroscope, then placed into small aerated aquaria in groups separated by species. Before filling the aquaria with tap-water, mud sterilised by boiling was layered onto the bottom of the aquaria to give a 2–3 cm thick layer. The oligochaetes placed into the aquaria were fed a few granules of fish food twice a week. Chicken faeces was added to the water to increase the organic matter content of the mud.

In the course of the one-year survey the following oligochaete species were examined for the occurrence of actinospores: *Branchiura sowerbyi* (Beddard), *Tubifex tubifex* (Müller), *Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri* (Claparede), *Nais elinguis* (Müller) and *Sylaria lacustris* (L.) [identified according to Brinkhurst (1963)].

### *Examination of oligochaetes for actinosporean infection. Morphology and differentiation of the actinospore types*

After the identification of oligochaetes to the species or genus level, they were examined for the presence of actinospores by the cell-well plate method of Yokoyama et al. (1991). A 24-well plate was used, with wells having a volume of 2 ml and containing tap-water. The worms were placed one by one into the wells which then were covered with a plastic sheet to prevent worms from climbing from one well into another. The plates were kept in thermostat at a temperature of 15 °C and examined under compound microscope for the possible presence of actinospores released from the worms and floating in the water. Any floating actinospores found were examined under an Olympus research microscope at a higher magnification and then recorded on videotape with the help

of a microscope video attachment. Subsequently still images of the spores were taken from the video recordings with the help of a video image program (Imago<sup>®</sup>). In many cases, simultaneously with the video recordings, photographs were also taken of the actinospores with the help of a conventional photographic attachment. Subsequently drawings were made of the actinospores and their measurements were taken.

Some of the oligochaetes were lifted out of the wells, carefully placed onto slides and examined under a coverslip, in live state, for the presence of actinosporean developmental stages by microscopy. When such stages were found, the live material was first recorded on videotape. Subsequently the infected worms were fixed in Bouin's solution for 4 h, embedded in paraffin, and 3–4 µm thick sections were prepared from them. The sections were stained with haematoxylin and eosin, then examined under an Olympus research microscope to determine the location of spore development.

If the oligochaetes were negative on first examination, the plates containing them were placed back into the thermostat and then re-examined every two days until they released actinospores. Those worms were considered negative which did not release spores from their body even after 3 months. The majority of large oligochaetes (*Branchiura*, *Tubifex*, *Limnodrilus*) survived in the thermostat for 3–4 months even without feeding; in their case only water had to be replenished at each examination. The prevalence of infection of the worms was recorded at each examination and the data were summarised by season.

The characteristic dimensions of actinospores recorded on videotape (polar capsules, spore body, style, caudal processes, whole length) were measured with the help of the Imago program. In addition, these measurements were also taken subsequently with the help of a scale recorded on the videotape together with the actinospores separately for each magnification (Székely, 1997). The actinospore types were compared to the actinospore forms reported in the literature earlier (Janiszewska, 1955, 1957; Marques, 1984; Yokoyama et al. 1993a,b, 1995). Measurements were always taken on the basis of the average values measured for 10–50 mature spores. The measurements of actinospore types have been summarized in a table according to the guidance given by El-Matbouli (1988) and Lom et al. (1997b).

#### *Filtration of pond-water*

Due to technical difficulties (high floating alga count, etc.), direct filtration of water from the ponds was attempted in a few cases only. In the majority of cases, especially when examining oligochaetes of small body size, mud and water-weed samples were brought to the laboratory, together with particles floating in the water which often contained specimens of *Nais elinguis* and *Sty-*

*laria lacustris*. In the laboratory these samples were placed into aquaria. On every second day one litre of water was taken from each of these aquaria and filtered through a mesh of 10 µm pore size for examination for actinospores. Using a small volume of water, the filtrate was then washed off the filtering material onto a slide, and examined for the presence of actinospores at low magnification under a microscope.

*Myxosporeans occurring in the fish ponds surveyed*

Since the fish farm studied is conducting primarily common carp breeding in polyculture, the commonest farmed fish species are the common carp and the Japanese coloured carp (*Cyprinus carpio*), the silver carp (*Hypophthalmichthys molitrix*), the bighead (*Aristichthys nobilis*), the grasscarp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*), the goldfish (*Carassius auratus auratus*), and, as complementary predators, first of all the pikeperch (*Stizostedion lucioperca*), the pike (*Esox lucius*), the European catfish (*Silurus glanis*), and the sterlet (*Acipenser ruthenus*). Naturally, non-farmed fish species other than those listed above also gain entry to the fish ponds with the inflow waters in low numbers.

The fish species bred at the farm have been subjected to regular health checks for several years. These checks have detected the following main species of Myxosporea in the fish farm concerned (unpublished):

**Common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*):** *Myxobolus cyprini*, *M. dispar*, *M. basilamellaris*, *M. encephalicus*, *Thelohanellus nikolskii*, *T. hovorkai*, *Hoferellus cyprini*, *Sphaerospora renicola*, *S. molnari*.

**Goldfish (*Carassius auratus*):** *Hoferellus carassii*, *Sphaerospora renicola*.

**Silver carp (*Hypophthalmichthys molitrix*):** *Myxobolus pavlovskii*, *M. drjagini*, *Myxobilatus* sp., *Sphaerospora* sp., *Chloromyxum* sp.

**Bighead (*Aristichthys nobilis*):** *M. pavlovskii*, *Myxobilatus* sp., *Sphaerospora* sp., *Chloromyxum* sp.

**Grasscarp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*):** *Chloromyxum* sp., *Myxidium* sp.

**Pike (*Esox lucius*):** *Myxobolus anurus*, *Henneguya psorospermica*, *Myxidium lieberkuehni*.

**Sterlet (*Acipenser ruthenus*):** *Sphaerospora colomani*, *Chloromyxum inexpectatum*

**Pikeperch (*Stizostedion lucioperca*):** no data are available.

## Results

### *Occurrence of actinospore types in oligochaetes collected from the fish ponds*

A total of 28 actinospore types (Figs 1–4 and 12–13) were isolated from the 889 oligochaete specimens collected from the ponds, representing 5 species (*Branchiura sowerbyi*, *Tubifex tubifex*, *Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri*, *Nais elinguis* and *Stylaria lacustris*). The actinospores can be classified into four main groups (triacinomyxon, raabeia, aurantiactinomyxon and neoactinomyxon). The specimens of *Branchiura sowerbyi* exhibited the most prevalent infection, and were in many cases infected by several actinospore types at the same time. Of the 253 *Branchiura* specimens examined, 215 (85%) were infected by raabeia, 149 (59%) by aurantiactinomyxon, and 50 (20%) by neoactinomyxon type actinospores (Fig. 5). Triacinomyxon type infection was not detected in *Branchiura*. Of the 338 *Tubifex* specimens examined, 108 (32%) were infected by triacinomyxon, 4 (1.2%) by raabeia, and 3 (0.9%) by aurantiactinomyxon (Fig. 6). Of the 193 *Limnodrilus* specimens, 65 (34%) were infected by aurantiactinomyxon and 49 (25%) by triacinomyxon (Fig. 7). Neither raabeia nor neoactinomyxon type infection was found in the *Limnodrilus* specimens. Four out of the 31 *Nais elinguis* specimens examined (13%) were infected exclusively by triacinomyxon (Fig. 7), while 3 out of the 74 *Stylaria* specimens examined (4%) was also found to have exclusively triacinomyxon infection (Fig. 7).

Infection by actinospores exhibited a pronounced seasonality. In the spring, summer and autumn the prevalence of raabeia infection in *Branchiura* exceeded 90% (Fig. 8), while in the winter it dropped to 42%. A similar phenomenon was observed for aurantiactinomyxon infection, with the difference that in this case the spring and summer peaks were around 80% and the prevalence of infection dropped to around 40% by the autumn and to a level as low as 14% by the winter. It was interesting to note that neoactinomyxon infection was at a low level in the spring and summer, reaching a high (over 90%) peak in the autumn, followed by a marked decrease during the winter. For the species *Tubifex*, *Limnodrilus*, *Nais* and *Stylaria* the highest prevalence of actinospore infection occurred, with minor differences, in the spring and summer (Figs 9–11).

### *2. Filtration of pond-water*

After filtration of water samples from the ponds triacinomyxon, raabeia, aurantiactinomyxon and neoactinomyxon type actinospores were detected (Figs 1–4, Tables 1–4), which were often identical with the actinospore types directly obtained from the worms.




Fig. 1. Line drawings of triactinomyxon types collected from a Hungarian fish farm




Fig. 2. Line drawings of raabeia types collected from a Hungarian fish farm




Fig. 3. Line drawings of auranitiactinomyxon types collected from a Hungarian fish farm





Fig. 4. Line drawings of neoaclinomyxon types collected from a Hungarian fish farm



Figs 5–7. All-year prevalence of actinosporean infection of oligochaetes in a Hungarian fish farm from March 1996 to March 1997




Fig. 8. *Branchiura sowerbyi*




Fig. 9. *Tubifex tubifex*

Seasonality of actinosporean infection in oligochaetes in a Hungarian fish farm from March 1996 to March 1997




Fig. 10: *Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri*




Fig. 11. *Triactinomyxon* infection in *Nais elinguis* and *Stylaria lacustris*

Seasonality of actinosporean infection in oligochaetes in a Hungarian fish farm from March 1996 to March 1997




Fig. 12. Some selected pictures of actinosporeans (I); A3-A6 and A12: auranitactinomyxon spores (types 3, 4, 5, 6 and 12); R1 and R3: raabeia spores (types 1 and 3); T2: triactinospore (type 2); TR: Released triactinospores after squashing the tubifex body




Fig. 13. Some selected pictures of actinosporans (II); A2, A5, A10, A11 and A12: auranitiomaxon spores (types 2, 5, 10, 11 and 12); T3: triactinospore (type 3); T: Sporoplasm released from the triactinospore body; N1-N8: neoactinomaxon spores (types 1-8)

**Table 1**  
Average dimensions of triactinomyxon types found during the survey (in  $\mu\text{m}$ )

Triactinomyxon type No.	Origin of spores	Polar capsule dimensions	Spore body dimensions	Secondary cell dimensions	No. of secondary cells	Style length	Style width at start/at end	Caudal process dimensions	Whole length
1	water, <i>Stylaria</i> , <i>Tubifex</i>	7.0×3.5	36.6×10.6	n. d.	≈ 27	102	9.4/16.5	128×10.6	236.3
2	water	11.8×5.9	101.2×14.1	11.8×10.6	n. d.	n. d.	n. d.	n. d.	n. d.
3	<i>Nais</i> , <i>Tubifex</i>	5.9×4.5	47.1×10.6	6.0×4.7	8	150	15.3/10.6	127.5×14.5	399.4
4	water, <i>Limnodrilus</i>	4.7×2.4	41.2×8.8	5.9×4.7	8	137.7	9.4/20.0	173.4/14.3	352.3

n. d. = not determined

**Table 2**  
Average dimensions of raabeia types found during the survey (in  $\mu\text{m}$ )

Raabeia type No.	Origin of spores	Polar capsule dimensions	Sporoplasm dimensions	Spore body dimensions	Caudal process dimensions	Whole length (from polar capsules to the end of caudal process)
1	water, <i>Branchiura</i> , <i>Tubifex</i>	5.9 × 3.5	20 × 11.8	25.9 × 11.8	294 × 9	320
2	water, <i>Branchiura</i>	5.9 × 4.7	8.2 × 12.4	14.1 × 12.4	202.8 × 8.2	216.9
3	<i>Tubifex</i>	7.5 × 5.9	18.8 × 12.9	28.2 × 14.1	183.6 × 10.6	211.8
4	water	5.7 × 4.0	16.0 × 6.8	21.7 × 7.7	209.4 × 6.6	231.1

**Table 3**  
Average dimensions of aurantiactinomyxon types found during the survey (in  $\mu\text{m}$ )

Aurantiactino- myxon type No.	Origin of spores	Caudal process length and width near the sporoplasm	Polar capsule dimensions	Spore cavity diameter	Largest span
1	<i>Tubifex tubifex</i>	17.5 × 9.9	2 × 2	18.3	45.4
2	water, <i>Branchiura sowerbyi</i>	65.7 × 10.5	4 × 1.7	22.8	142.5
3	water, <i>Branchiura sowerbyi</i>	70.3 × 8.0	2.9 × 2.9	22.8	149.3
4	water, <i>Branchiura sowerbyi</i>	55.7 × 11.2	2.9 × 2.9	19.4	122
5	water, <i>Branchiura sowerbyi</i>	17.2 × 3.9	1.4 × 1.4	9.9	39.5
6	<i>Limnodrilus</i> sp.	24.2 × 11.2	2.8 × 2.8	19.7	55.6
7	water	24.4 × 9.5	2.8 × 2.5	18.9	58.4
8	<i>Limnodrilus</i> sp.	12.2 × 9.0	1.4 × 1.4	22.6	39.8
9	water, <i>Branchiura sowerbyi</i>	51.3 × 9.5	2.3 × 2.3	18.8	103.2
10	water, <i>Branchiura sowerbyi</i>	16.7 × 8.8	1.7 × 1.7	15.5	39.5
11	water	31.9 × 3.7	3.4 × 2.0	8.5	46.5
12	water, <i>Branchiura sowerbyi</i>	26.5 × 8.7	2.8 × 3.1	12.1	59.2

**Table 4**  
Average dimensions of neoactinomyxon types found during the survey (in  $\mu\text{m}$ )

Neoactinomyxon type No.	Origin of spores	Polar capsule dimensions (length $\times$ width)	Spore body width (angle to base)	Caudal process dimensions (length $\times$ width)	Span between two caudal processes
1	<i>Branchiura sowerbyi</i>	2.5 $\times$ 2.8	21.2	8.5 $\times$ 16.4	29.3
2	<i>Branchiura sowerbyi</i>	3.1 $\times$ 2.8	18.3	10.8 $\times$ 14.4	31
3	<i>Branchiura sowerbyi</i>	2.8 $\times$ 2.3	22	8.5 $\times$ 16	30.2
4	<i>Branchiura sowerbyi</i>	3.7 $\times$ 2.8	22.3	7 $\times$ 16	29
5	<i>Branchiura sowerbyi</i>	1.7 $\times$ 1.7	21.2	4.4 $\times$ 13.6	23.1
6	<i>Branchiura sowerbyi</i>	4.2 $\times$ 2.8	20.3	7.8 $\times$ 12.7	29.3
7	<i>Branchiura sowerbyi</i>	2.8 $\times$ 3.1	22.6	8.5 $\times$ 16.4	31.5
8	<i>Branchiura sowerbyi</i>	2 $\times$ 2	22.8	4.2 $\times$ 11.3	26.8

*Light microscopy*

Actinosporeans representing different stages of development (primarily the stages showing advanced spore formation) could be recognised even if live worms were examined microscopically, gently pressed down under a coverslip (Fig. 12, TR).

*Description of the detected actinospore types*

Most of the detected actinospore types were measured as described by Lom et al. (1997b). The main parameters of the actinospores found are presented in Tables 1–4.

*Histological evidences*

After fixation, histological processing and examination of infected worms selected in this way, actinospore parasites were found to occur first of all in the worms' gut epithelium and less frequently in their body cavity (Fig. 14).

### Discussion

Primarily in recent years, actinosporean infection of oligochaetes has been studied successfully by numerous authors, among others by Mackinnon and Adam (1924), Markiw (1986), El-Matbouli and Hoffmann (1989), Yokoyama et al. (1991), El-Matbouli and Hoffmann (1993), Kent et al. (1993), El-Matbouli et al. (1995), Kent et al. (1995), Uspenskaya (1995), Yokoyama et al. (1995), and Trouillier et al. (1996). Most of the above-listed authors reported a relatively low (around 1%) prevalence of infection in worm populations. Yokoyama et al. (1993a,b) were the only investigators who detected > 4% actinosporean infection in *Branchiura sowerbyi* in a goldfish-culturing pond. In a survey of the actinosporean infection of oligochaetes in natural waters of Hungary, Székely (1989) and Pallós (1995) also found similarly low levels of infection. In contrast, in the present survey e.g. raabeia infection of *Branchiura sowerbyi* showed a prevalence of 98% in certain periods of the year, and in the warm months a triactinomyxon infection of 3% and 4% prevalence was recorded even in the *Stylaria* and *Nais* specimens, respectively, which were found to have the lowest level of infection in this survey. These values are markedly higher than those reported earlier by other authors. The outstandingly high values recorded by us, however, only partially mean that the level of actinospore infection is so much higher in the fish ponds surveyed than in other habitats. The observed difference can be attributed primarily to the different examination technique used by us. Today, it is already well known that a given oligochaete may be infected by




Fig. 14. Histological sections of actinosporean-infected oligochaetes; 1. Triactinomyxon infection in the gut of *Tubifex tubifex* ( $\times 210$ ); 2. Raabeia infection in the gut of *Branchiura sowerbyi* ( $\times 250$ ); 3. Aurantiactinomyxon infection in the gut of *Branchiura sowerbyi* ( $\times 960$ ); 4. Neoactinomyxon infection in the gut of *Branchiura sowerbyi* ( $\times 1750$ ); arrows: spores in the gut lumen and in the gut epithelium

actinospores representing different development stages while the mature spores will be excreted only after an about 3-month period of intra-oligochaete development. The fact that in this study individual oligochaete specimens were regularly examined over a period of about 3 months, greatly elevated the ratio of positive individuals and revealed that a single examination of any given worm cannot give reliable data on the prevalence of actinosporean infection, as it shows exclusively the ratio of worms that contain mature spores and spores being excreted at the given point of time.

The data obtained in this study indicate that actinospore infection of oligochaetes persists throughout the year and is characterised by seasonality manifesting itself primarily in the appearance of worms containing mature spores, which reaches its peak in the warmer months.

A further explanation of prevalence values far exceeding those reported in the literature may be that in the present survey the worms were collected from ponds in which several fish species were reared simultaneously in an intensive manner. As a result, the number of myxosporeans (and thus the actinospore forms) occurring there can be far higher as compared to the level of actinosporean infection of oligochaetes derived from ponds in which other fish species (salmonids, goldfish, catfish) are reared in monoculture (Pote and Waterstrat, 1993; Yokoyama et al., 1993a,b; McGeorge et al., 1997).

The different actinospore types could be found in both the smaller and the larger oligochaetes. Thus, triactinomyxons were detected in *Tubifex tubifex* of large body size just like in the smaller *Stylaria* and *Nais* species. It should be mentioned, however, that triactinomyxon types, which are so common in other oligochaetes, could not be detected in *Branchiura sowerbyi* showing the highest prevalence of infection. The actinospores found during the present survey were defined on the basis of the main taxonomic units (triactinomyxon, raabeia, auranctinomyxon and neoactinomyxon) described by Janiszewska (1955, 1957) and Marques (1984); however, we agree with the view of El-Matbouli et al. (1992), Kent et al. (1993), Yokoyama et al. (1993a), Kent et al. (1995), Yokoyama et al. (1995), Trouillier et al. (1996), and McGeorge et al. (1997), i.e. that these cannot be regarded as independent taxonomic units. The accepted names are used only for denoting the type of developmental stage of a given myxosporean species. The number of the 28 actinospore types detected by us roughly corresponds to that of myxosporean species hitherto found in the fish farm. It is likely, however, that some of the actinospores found during this survey had come from the inflow water, rather than being a developmental stage of myxosporeans parasitising fish reared in the ponds. While we do not venture to identify any of the detected actinospore types as a developmental stage of any of the myxosporean species parasitic in the ponds in question, based upon the experimentally proven developmental cycles reported in the literature (Wolf and

Markiw, 1984; El-Matbouli and Hoffmann, 1989; El-Matbouli et al., 1992; Benajiba and Marques, 1993; El-Matbouli and Hoffmann, 1993; Kent et al., 1993; Uspenskaya, 1995; Yokoyama et al., 1995; El-Mansy and Molnár, 1997) as well as our own work currently under publication (El-Mansy and Molnár, 1998) it can be assumed that triactinomyxons and raabeias represent the developmental stages of species belonging to the *Myxobolus* genus while neoactinomyxons and aurantiactinomyxons may be the developmental stages of species included in the genera *Myxidium*, *Zschokkella*, *Hoferellus* and *Thelohanellus*.

#### *Differential diagnosis*

On the basis of their dimensions presented in Tables 1–4 and their schematic drawings shown in Figs 1–4, the 4 triactinomyxon, 4 raabeia, 12 aurantiactinomyxon and 8 neoactinomyxon types detected during the survey relate to the types hitherto described in the literature as follows.

As this survey provided a picture of the actinosporean infection of oligochaetes derived from a fish farm culturing cyprinids, it is not surprising that the 4 triactinomyxon types found by us differ from the triactinomyxons detected by other authors (Hamilton and Canning, 1987; El-Matbouli and Hoffmann, 1993; McGeorge et al., 1997) in waters inhabited by trouts. Nor are these four triactinomyxon types identical with the triactinospore of *M. drjagini* and *M. hungaricus* described by El-Mansy and Molnár (1997, 1998) from a fish farm and from Lake Balaton, respectively. Although some of the dimensions (e.g. those of the style or the caudal processes) may be identical with the data reported by other authors, in these cases differences are found e.g. in the number of secondary cells located in the triactinospores.

As with the triactinomyxons, the 4 raabeia types found by us did not prove to be identical with the forms hitherto described in the literature (Janiszewska, 1957; Janiszewska and Krzton, 1973; Yokoyama et al., 1995; McGeorge et al., 1997). Although three out of the 4 raabeia types found by us (types 1, 2 and 4) have caudal processes similar in size to that described by Yokoyama et al. (1995) from *Branchiura sowerbyi* and, moreover, type 4 even has spore body parameters identical with those of the latter, type 4 described by us has much larger polar capsules than that reported by the above-cited Japanese authors. The other raabeia types included in our survey differ from those described by other authors in several parameters.

By their dimensions, none of the 12 aurantiactinomyxon types can be identified with the forms described by Marques (1984), Styer et al. (1992) and Troullier et al. (1996). Nor can the forms found by us be compared with the aurantiactinospores described by El-Matbouli et al. (1992), Grossheider and Körting (1992), and Benajiba and Marques (1993), as the authors did not give

spore dimensions in these works. Although the aurantiactinomyxon type presented by McGeorge et al. (1997) resembles the 12 aurantiactinomyxon types found by us in many of its parameters (spore cavity diameter, polar capsule dimensions and length of caudal processes), the caudal processes of the Scottish form widen out much more than those of the forms found by us. These two forms also differ in their habitat and alternative host. The form described by Yokoyama (1997) as the aurantiactinospore of *Thelohanellus hovorkai* closely resembles our type 12 also found in *Branchiura sowerbyi*, with only slight differences.

The eight neoactinomyxon types collected by us differed from the forms described in the literature by Janiszewska (1955), Marques (1984) and Yokoyama et al. (1993a) in several of their dimensions.

A paper providing general and consistent guidelines on the description of actinospores on their own or as the alternative form of myxosporeans has been published only quite recently (Lom et al., 1997b). This is why it is often difficult to compare the forms found by us with those described in earlier works. It is to be hoped that in the future all descriptions will conform to the general guidelines, which would make it easier to compare the results obtained by different researchers.

The main objective of the present work was to complement our knowledge of the myxosporeoses of fish farms with data on those myxosporeans' alternative forms living in oligochaetes. Our future goal is to assign the highest possible number of the detected actinosporeans to individual species of myxosporeans, with the help of experimental work.

### Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the staff of the investigated fish farm for their help provided during the survey, and the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund (OTKA), contract no. T 014648 for supplying the funds that constituted the financial basis of the work. Financial support was also received in the form of overhead expenses provided to A. El-Mansy under the Hungarian-Egyptian Cultural Agreement.

### References

- Benajiba, M. H. and Marques, A. (1993): The alternation of actinomyxidial and myxosporidian sporal form in the development of *Myxidium giardi* (parasite of *Anguilla anguilla*) through oligochaetes. Bull. Eur. Ass. Fish Pathol. **13**, 100–103.
- Brinkhurst, R. O. (1963): A guide for the identification of British aquatic Oligochaeta. Freshwater Biological Association Scientific Publication No. 22, 52 pp.

- Burtle, G. J., Harrison, L. R. and Styer, E. L. (1991): Detection of a triactinomyxid myxozoan in an oligochaete from ponds with proliferative gill disease in channel catfish. *J. Aquat. Anim. Health* **3**, 281–287.
- El-Mansy, A. and Molnár, K. (1997): Extrapiscine development of *Myxobolus drjagini* Akhmerov, 1954 (Myxosporaea: Myxobolidae) in oligochaete alternative hosts. *Acta Vet. Hung.* **45**, 427–438.
- El-Mansy, A. and Molnár, K. (1998): Development of *Myxobolus hungaricus* Jaczó, 1940 (Myxosporaea: Myxobolidae) in oligochaete alternate hosts. *Dis. aquat. Org.* (in press).
- El-Matbouli, M. (1988): Untersuchungen zum Entwicklungszyklus von *Myxobolus cotti*-Übertragung sowie licht- und elektronenmikroskopische Befunde. Inaugural-Dissertation zur Erlangung des Doktorgrades des Fachbereichs für Biologie der Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München. 131 pp.
- El-Matbouli, M. and Hoffmann, R. W. (1989): Experimental transmission of two *Myxobolus* spp. developing bisporogeny via tubificid worms. *Parasitol. Res.* **75**, 461–464.
- El-Matbouli, M. and Hoffmann, R. W. (1993): *Myxobolus carassii* Klokaceva, 1914 also requires an aquatic oligochaete, *Tubifex tubifex* as an intermediate host in its life cycle. *Bull. Eur. Ass. Fish Pathol.* **13**, 189–192.
- El-Matbouli, M., Fischer-Scherl, T. and Hoffmann, R. W. (1992): Transmission of *Hoferellus carassii* Achmerov, 1960 to goldfish *Carassius auratus* via an aquatic oligochaete. *Bull. Eur. Ass. Fish Pathol.* **12**, 54–56.
- El-Matbouli, M., Hoffmann, R. W. and Mandok, C. (1995): Light and electron microscopic observations on the route of the triactinomyxon-sporoplasm of *Myxobolus cerebralis* from epidermis into rainbow trout cartilage. *J. Fish Biol.* **46**, 919–935.
- Grossheider, G. and Körting, W. (1992): First evidence that *Hoferellus cyprini* (Doflein, 1898) is transmitted by *Nais* sp. *Bull. Eur. Ass. Fish Pathol.* **17**, 17–20.
- Hamilton, A. J. and Canning, E. U. (1987): Studies on the proposed role of *Tubifex tubifex* (Müller) as an intermediate host in the life cycle of *Myxosoma cerebralis* (Hofer, 1903). *J. Fish Dis.* **10**, 145–151.
- Ikeda, J. (1912): Studies on some sporozoan parasites of sipunculoids. I. The life history of a new Actinomyxidian, *Tetractynomyxon intermedium* g. et sp. nov. *Archiv für Protistenkunde* **25**, 240–242.
- Janiszewska, J. (1955): Actinomyxidia. Morphology, ecology, history of investigations, systematics, development. *Acta Parasitol. Polon.* **2**, 405–443.
- Janiszewska, J. (1957): Actinomyxidia II. New systematics, sexual cycle, description of new genera and species. *Zoologica Poloniae* **8**, 3–34.
- Janiszewska, J. and Krzton, M. (1973): *Raabeia furciligera* sp. n. (Cnidosporidia, Actinomyxidia) from the body cavity of *Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri* Claparède, 1862. *Acta Protozool.* **12**, 165–167.
- Kent, M. L., Margolis L. and Corliss, J. O. (1995): The demise of a class of protists: taxonomic and nomenclatural revisions proposed for the protist phylum Myxozoa Grassé, 1970. *Can. J. Zool.* **72**, 932–937.
- Kent, M. L., Whitaker, D. J. and Margolis, L. (1993): Transmission of *Myxobolus arcticus* Pugachev and Khokhlov, 1979, a myxosporean parasite of Pacific salmon, via a triactinomyxon from the aquatic oligochaete *Stylodrilus heringianus* (Lumbriculidae). *Can. J. Zool.* **71**, 1207–1211.
- Koller, E. (1994): Verbreitung von Actinosporaea in zwei Salmoniden-Teichwirtschaften. Dipl.-Arb., Univ. München, 99 pp.
- Lom, J. and Dykova, I. (1997): Ultrastructural features of the actinosporae phase of Myxosporaea (Phylum Myxozoa): a comparative study. *Acta Protozool.* **36**, 83–103.

- Lom, J., Yokoyama, H. and Dykova, I. (1997a): Comparative ultrastructure of *Aurantiactinomyxon* and *Raabeia*, actinosporean stages of myxozoan life cycles. *Archiv für Protistenkunde* **148**, 173–189.
- Lom, J., McGeorge, J., Feist, S. W., Morris, D. and Adams, A. (1997b): Guidelines for the uniform characterisation of the actinosporean stages of parasites of the phylum Myxozoa. *Dis. aquat. Org.* **30**, 1–9.
- Mackinnon, D. L. and Adam, D. I. (1924): Notes on sporozoa parasitic in Tubifex. I. The life history of Triactinomyxon. *Quart. J. Microsc. Sci.* **68**, 187–209.
- Markiw, M. E. (1986): Salmonid whirling disease: Dynamics of experimental production of the infective stage — the triactinomyxon spore. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **43**, 521–526.
- Marques, A. (1984): Contribution a la connaissance des Actinomyxidies: ultrastructure, cycle biologique, systematique. Ph.D. Thesis, Universite des Science et Techniques du Languedoc, Montpellier, France.
- McGeorge, J., Sommerville, C. and Wootten, R. (1997): Studies of actinosporean myxozoan stages parasitic in oligochaetes from sediments of a hatchery where Atlantic salmon harbour *Sphaerospora truttae* infection. *Dis. aquat. Org.* **30**, 107–119.
- Pallós, A. (1995): Occurrence of actinosporean stages of myxosporeans in oligochaetes. MSc Dissertation. University of Veterinary Sciences, Budapest. 37 pp. (in Hungarian)
- Pote, L. M. and Waterstrat, P. (1993): Motile stage of *Aurantiactinomyxon* sp. (Actinosporea: Triactinomyxidae) isolated from *Dero digitata* found in channel catfish ponds during outbreaks of proliferative gill disease. *J. Aquat. Anim. Health* **5**, 213–218.
- Ruidisch, S., El-Matbouli, M. and Hoffmann, R. W. (1991): The role of tubificid worms as an intermediate host in the life cycle of *Myxobolus pavlovskii* (Akhmerov, 1954). *Parasitol. Res.* **77**, 663–667.
- Schlegel, M., Lom, J., Stechmann, A., Bernhard, D., Leipe, D., Dyková, I. and Sogin, M. L. (1996): Phylogenetic analysis of complete small subunit ribosomal RNA coding region of *Myxidium lieberkuehni*: Evidence that Myxozoa are Metazoa and related to Bilateralia. *Archiv für Protistenkunde* **147**, 1–9.
- Siddal, M. E., Martin, D. S., Bridge, D., Desser, S. S. and Cone, D. K. (1995): The demise of a phylum of protists: phylogeny of Myxozoa and other parasitic Cnidaria. *J. Parasitol.* **81**, 961–967.
- Smothers, J. F., Dohlen, C. D. von, Smith, L. H. Jr. and Spall, R. D. (1994): Molecular evidence that the myxosporean protists are metazoans. *Science* **265**, 1719–1721.
- Stolc, A. (1899): Actinomyxidies, nouveau groupe de Mesozoaires parent des Myxosporidies. *Bull. Internat. de l'Acad. Sci. Boheme* **22**, 1–12.
- Styer, E. L., Harrison, L. R. and Burtle, G. J. (1992): Six new species of Actinomyxids from *Dero digitata*. Abstracts of Papers. International Workshop on Myxosporea, Česke Budejovice. October 6–8, 1992. p. 5.
- Székely, Cs. (1989): Fish-parasitic myxosporeans and a new method to control them. Univ. Doctoral Dissertation. Agricultural University of Gödöllő. pp. 75. (in Hungarian)
- Székely, Cs. (1991): Studies on the possible role of aquatic oligochaetes in the life cycle of myxosporeans. Abstract of Papers: IIIrd Int. Symp. Fish Parasitol. Aug. 14–21, Petrozavodsk, USSR. p. 81.
- Székely, Cs. (1997): Possible applications of video technology and digital image processing in fish parasitology: Morphological examination of the groups Apicomplexa and Myxosporea-Actinosporea by video technology. *Bull. Eur. Ass. Fish Pathol.* **17**, 81–82.
- Trouillier, A., El-Matbouli, M. and Hoffmann, R. W. (1996): A new look at the life-cycle of *Hoferellus carassii* in goldfish (*Carassius auratus auratus*) and its relation to “kidney enlargement disease” (KED). *Folia Parasitol.* **43**, 173–187.

- Uspenskaya, A. V. (1995): Alternation of actinosporean and myxosporean phases in the life cycle of *Zschokella nova* (Myxozoa). *J. Eukar. Microbiol.* **42**, 665–668.
- Wolf, K. and Markiw, M. E. (1984): Biology contravenes taxonomy in the Myxozoa: new discoveries show alternation of invertebrate and vertebrate hosts. *Science* **225**, 1449–1452.
- Yokoyama, H., Ogawa, K. and Wakabayashi, H. (1991): A new collection method of actinosporeans. A probable infective stage of myxosporeans to fishes from tubificids and experimental infection of goldfish with the actinosporean, *Raabeia* sp. *Fish Pathol.* **26**, 133–138.
- Yokoyama, H., Ogawa, K. and Wakabayashi, H. (1993a): Involvement of *Branchiura sowerbyi* (Oligochaeta: Annelida) in the transmission of *Hoferellus carassii* (Myxosporea: Myxozoa), the causative agent of kidney enlargement disease (KED) of goldfish *Carassius auratus*. *Fish Pathol.* **28**, 135–139.
- Yokoyama, H., Ogawa, K. and Wakabayashi, H. (1993b): Some biological characteristics of actinosporeans from the oligochaete *Branchiura sowerbyi*. *Dis. aquat. Org.* **17**, 223–228.
- Yokoyama, H., Ogawa, K. and Wakabayashi, H. (1995): *Myxobolus cultus* n. sp. (Myxosporea: Myxobolidae) in the goldfish *Carassius auratus* transformed from the actinosporean stage in the oligochaete *Branchiura sowerbyi*. *J. Parasitol.* **81**, 446–451.
- Yokoyama, H. (1997): Transmission of *Thelohanellus hovorkai* Achmerov, 1960 (Myxosporea: Myxozoa) to common carp *Cyprinus carpio* through the alternate oligochaete host. *Syst. Parasitol.* **36**, 79–84.